

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of South Carolina

United States of America

v.

McSHAWN ANTOINE MACK

Date of Original Judgment: 7/25/12Date of Previous Amended Judgment: 10/29/15

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

)

)

)

)

)

)Case No: 5:11-2384-MBSUSM No: 96590-071

Pro Se

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (*as reflected in the last judgment issued*) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

(Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Amendment 794 is not retroactively applicable. See United States v. McNeill, 671 F. App'x 67 (4th Cir. 2016).Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 10/29/15 shall remain in effect.**IT IS SO ORDERED.**Order Date: August 18, 2017

/s/ Margaret B. Seymour

Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Margaret B. Seymour, Senior United States District Judge

Printed name and title